(ase 2:19-cv-00168-JAD-DJA Document 118	Filed 06/10/21	Page 1 of 7
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LESLIE MARK STOVALL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 2566 ROSS MOYNIHAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11848 STOVALL & ASSOCIATES 2301 Palomino Lane Las Vegas, NV 89107 Telephone: (702) 258-3034 E-service: court@lesstovall.com Attorney for Plaintiff UNITED STATES D		Γ
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	KATHRYN MAYORGA, an individual	Case No.: 2:19-	-cv-00168-JAD-DJA
11	Plaintiff,		
12 13			
14	VS.		
15	CRISTIANO RONALDO, individually, Does I-XX and Roe Corporations I-XX; Defendants.		
16			
17	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SEAL [ECF 113]		
18 19			
20	(First Request)		
21	COMES NOW Plaintiff, by and through her attorneys, STOVALL & ASSOCIATES, an		
22	files this motion to extend time to respond to defendant's motion to seal [ECF 113]. This is the		
23	first request for an extension of time made to the court.		
24 25	This motion is based upon the pleadings and papers on file herein, the memorandum of		
26	points and authorities attached hereto, and any oral argument this Court may entertain should		
27	there be a hearing on the motion.		
28	///		
	D 1	-57	

 \bigcirc ase 2:19-cv-00168-JAD-DJA Document 118 Filed 06/10/21 Page 2 of 7

- I am requesting an extension of time to file plaintiff's responses to ECF 112 and ECF 113 because I have not been able to begin work on the responses since May 27, 2021 and I will not be able to start working on the responses until June20, 2021 for the following reasons:
 - a. I was preparing for the jury trial of Barcelon v. Landforce Corp., case no. 2:18-cv-01493-GMN-NJK from May 17, 2021 until June 4, 2021 when it settled.
 - b. From June 5, 2021 thru June 11, 2021 I have been catching up on work deferred from May 17, 2021 thru June 4, 2021, including continuing the jury trials of Ware v. Hardy, case A-18-786457 and Ramirez v. Lucky Lucy Casino, case A-18-769806 in the Clark Co. District Court and preparing for the jury trial of Droge v. AAAA Towing, case A-15-724188 in Dept. 1 of the Clark Co. District Court commencing July 19, 2021.
 - c. Prior to the filing of the defense motions ECF 112 and ECF 113, I had scheduled and paid for a short vacation in Florida to visit my family from June 12, 2021 thru June 19, 2021. This is the first occasion that I have had to visit my family due to Covid 19 restrictions since last year.
- 7. The exhibits [ECF111] filed in support of the defendant's motion for terminating sanctions [ECF 112] appear to be approx. 1500 pages. Based upon the allegations set forth in the defense motions these exhibits and the "Football Leaks" document require careful review and referencing to disclosures of documents attributable to the defendant and the media prior to the filing of this lawsuit and thereafter, and the disclosures by the plaintiff to law enforcement, the defendant and to the court in this case.
- 8. On June 8, 2021 I requested by email defense counsel's agreement to extend the time for the filing of plaintiff's response, a copy of which is attached hereto as *Exhibit 1* and incorporated herein by reference.

28

9. On June 8, 2021 the defendant's counsel Kendelee Works responded to my request stating:

Les,

Your request for an additional 25 days after your return from vacation to oppose these motions, both of which are due on June 10, 2021, not the 11th, is entirely unreasonable. I will also note that given your trial case settled on 6/4, I presume for the past six days you have not been preparing for trial on a case that had resolved. As to the 1000's of pages of exhibits. those documents have all already been disclosed and are primarily comprised of the LVMPD file that you indicated you were reviewing in preparation for the Bongiovi depositions last month, which then required you to continue those depositions as well. Moreover, the LVMPD file consists largely of your own client's statements to the police and the Football Leaks documents you provided to LVMPD years ago. Your request also runs afoul of the court's most recent orders extending discovery and staying certain depositions and the expert deadline.

In short, your request that we agree to afford you 7 weeks to oppose two motions for which you would be allowed 2 weeks to respond in the ordinary course, is unreasonable and not well founded. If you have a more reasonable request, we are happy to consider it and can be available for a meet and confer tomorrow.

Please let us know how you wish to proceed.

(A copy of Ms. Works email is attached hereto as *Exhibit 2* and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10. I am requesting that the court extend the time for the filing of the plaintiff's response to both EFC 112 to EFC 113 to July 20, 2021.
- This motion is made in good faith and not for propose of unnecessary delay.
 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

1	Case No.: 2:19-cv-00168-JAD-DJA Mayorga v. Ronaldo Motion to Extend time			
2				
3	DATED this day of June 2021.			
4	Description of the second seco			
5	LESLIE MARK STOVALL, ESQ.			
6				
7	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES			
8	FRCP 6(b) states:			
9	When an act may or must be done within a specific time. The court may, for good cause extend the time:			
10				
11	(A) with or without motion or notice if the courts acts, or if a request is made, before			
12 13	the original time or its extension expires; or (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect.			
14	LRIA6-1 states in pertinent part:			
15				
16	(a) A motion or stipulation to extend dime must state the reasons for the extension requested and must inform the court of all previous extension of the subject			
17	deadline the court granted. (b) A stipulation or motion to seeking to extend the time to file an opposition or repl			
18	to a motion, or to extend the time fixed for hearing a motion, must state in its opening paragraph the filing date of the subject motion or the date of the subject			
19	hearing.			
20				
21	Based upon the declaration of counsel, good cause exists for the extension of plaintiff's			
22	time from June 10, 2021 to July 20, 2021 to respond to defendant's motion to seal [ECF 113].			
23				
24	///			
25				
26 27				
28				
20				

case 2:19-cv-00168-JAD-DJA Document 118 Filed 06/10/21 Page 5 of 7

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00168-JAD-DJA Mayorga v. Ronaldo Motion to Extend time day of June 2021. DATED this LESLIE MARK STOVALL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 2566 ROSS MOYNIHAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11848 STOVALL & ASSOCIATES 2301 Palomino Lane Las Vegas, NV 89107 Telephone: (702) 258-3034 E-service: court@lesstovall.com Attorney for Plaintiff

dase 2:19-cv-00168-JAD-DJA Document 118 Filed 06/10/21 Page 7 of 7